Free Rad. Res. Comms., Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 251-257 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only c: 1988 Harwood Academic Publishers GmbH Printed in Great Britain

PEROXIDATION OF PHOSPHOLIPIDS PROMOTED BY MY ELOPEROXIDASE

GUNNAR **CARLlNf** and **RICKARD DJURSATER**

Department of Experimental Medicine, Pharmacia AB, S-75182 Uppsala, Sweden

(Received October 13, 1986)

Myeloperoxidase was found to promote peroxidation of phospholipids under acidic conditions in the presence of hydrogen peroxide and iodide ions The peroxidation was markedly enhanced **by** pyrophosphate-chelated ferric iron and was inhibited by desferrioxamine and superoxide dismutase. This observation adds lipid peroxidation to the oxidative damage caused by myeloperoxidase, which is a phagocytic cell enzyme involved in phapocyte-mediated cell destruction.

KEY WORDS: Myeloperoxidase. lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase. hypoiodite-mediated peroxidation. SOD-inhibited peroxidation.

INTRODUCTION

Phagocytic leucocytes contain a hypohalite-producing enzyme, myeloperoxidase, one of the functions of which is to modulate the inflammatory response and to destroy tumor cells and bacteria (review \vert). The ability of hypohalite ions to oxidize thiol and thioether compounds has been suggested as an explanation of the microbicidal activity of myeloperoxidase.? Myeloperoxidase-induced tissue destruction has been suggested in rheumatoid arthritis and it was recently found that thiol-containing antiarthritic drugs were scavengers of hypochlorite and inhibitors of its formation by leukocyte myeloperoxidase.' Lipid peroxidation is a commonly recognized mechanism of cell destruction but has so far not been considered to be a component of the myeloperoxidase-mediated injury. The present study has shown that myeloperoxidase-derived products are capable of initiating peroxidation of phospholipids in the presence of iodide and chelated iron.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) from human leukocytes was purchased from the Green Cross Corporation, Osaka. Japan. This lyophilized preparation (GCC-2200 lot EH001KY) contained 200 units per **rnl** after reconstitution. Bovine superoxide dismutase (SOD) 6000 U/mg, was purchased from Grünenthal. West Germany. A 50mM potassium phosphate buffer was used, the pH was 6.0 unless otherwise specified. Pyrophosphate-iron chelates were prepared in stock solution containing 3 mM FeCI, and 40 mM potassium pyrophosphate in water. Human albumin (lot

 $\text{\tt tTo whom correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed.}$

FIGURE **¹** Effect of pH on myeloperoxidase-induced lipid peroxidation. (x) with myeloperoxidase. *(0)* without myeloperoxidase.

631 30-5 I) was purchased from **KABI,** Stockholm, Sweden. Copper-histidine chelates were prepared to a final concentration of 0.1 mM CuCl, and 10 mM histidine in water.

Preparation of inactive SOD

SOD, 5 mg/ml in water, was brought to pH 11.8 by addition of NaOH. Hydrogen peroxide was added to a final concentration of 10 mM. After 3 min of incubation at room temperature, the pH was lowered to *7.5* with HCI, whereafter the mixture was dialyzed for 48 h to remove excess hydrogen peroxide. The inactivated **SOD** had residual activity of 120 U/mg.

Prepurution of P/iosphlipid Liposomrs

Folch fraction **111** from bovine brain (Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, Mo, USA) stored at -20 C as a 10% solution in chloroform, containing 140 mM phospholipid phosphate, was used. According to the manufacturer the preparation contained $80-85%$ phosphatidyl serine. A 100 μ l sample of the chloroform solution was dried under argon, after which **1** ml of ice-cold buffer was added and sonication was performed under argon for about 15 s. The liposomes were then kept ice-cold under argon.

Peroxidut ion 9 f Phospholipids

The basic incubation mixture consisted of 2 units of MPO, $0.1 \text{ mM H}₂O₂$, $10 \mu \text{M K I}$, $30 + 400 \mu M$ Fe³⁺-pyrophosphate complex and 10μ of phospholipid suspension in 1 ml of buffer at 37⁵C. The reaction was started by addition of the H_2O_2 . Care was taken to keep the reaction mixture in the dark, since preliminary experiments had shown that exposure to sunlight increased the concentration of thiobarbituric acid

RIGHTSLINK

FIGURE 2 Effects of KI (0) and $H_2O_1(x)$ on myeloperoxidase-induced lipid peroxidation.

reactive substances (TBARS) at least three times that ever seen under optimal conditions, even in the absence of H, O . After a 15 min incubation, TBARS were assayed as described elsewhere.' The results are expressed as absorbance values measured at 532 nm. Each result is the mean of at least two different experiments. The standard deviation was never more than **109'0.**

Enzyme Activity Measurements

The influence of 500 U/ml of SOD or 83 μ m desferrioxamine on myeloperoxidase was determined with the guaiacol method.' SOD activity was assayed as described by Marklund and Marklund.⁶

RESULTS

The effects of pH, and of different concentrations of H, O_2 and I are illustrated in Figures 1-2. All these variables showed an activity maximum. and the conditions giving maximal activities were chosen for the subsequent studies. The MPO activity was studied by adding **0.4.** 2 and 10 units to the normal reaction mixture. The resulting absorbance values were 0.097. 0.125 and 0.075 respectively, indicating an activity maximum for 2 units. The time dependence is illustrated in Figure 3. As seen in Table **I,** the maximal expression of TBARS required the presence of pyrophosphate-chelated iron. About 60% of the maximal TBARS were formed in the absence of added $Fe³⁺$ -pyrophosphate. However, no TBARS were formed in the presence of the iron chelator desferrioxamine. which may indicate that iron contaminating the reaction mixture could have catalyzed the peroxidation reaction. Substitution of a $Fe³⁺$ -EDTA chelate for $Fe³⁺$ -pyrophosphate also resulted in no expression of TBARS,

FIGURE 3 Increase in **lipid peroxidation with** incubation time

probably due to chelation of contaminating iron ions by the excess EDTA. Desferrioxamine did not interfere with the TBARS assay.

When iodide ions were replaced by chloride in the form of NaCl $(1-100 \text{ mM})$, no TBARS were formed. Preliminary experiments on the effect of **pH,** in which the pH of the phosphate buffer was adjusted with **HCI.** also indicated that chloride ions may inhibit iodide-catalyzed TBARS formation.

The peroxidation reaction **was** inhibited by bovine **SOD** in **a** dose-dependent fashion, as illustrated in Figure **4.** Hydrogen peroxide-inactivated **SOD** also inhibited the reaction. Inactivated **SOD** was only about 10% as effective as active SOD. Addition of SOD (50 μ g/ml) after the peroxidation reaction, but before the **TBARS** assay, reduced the absorbance values for active and inactivated **SOD** to **84** and 77% respectively. A Cu²⁺-histidine chelate, 10 nM to 10 μ M with respect to Cu,²⁺ had no effect on the peroxidation reaction. Human albumin, 0.5 , 5 and 50μ g/ml, inhibited the peroxidation reaction by 21, **44** and **72%** respectively, which is very similar to the inhibition by inactivated **SOD.**

The MPO activity was not significantly altered by the presence of SOD, while 83 μ M desferrioxamine reduced the MPO activity by 37%.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study show that myeloperoxidase is capable of promoting lipid peroxidation in the presence of hydrogen peroxide, iodide and chelated ferric iron. The peroxidation **was** markedly enhanced by addition of pyrophosphate-bound Fe3'. Desferrioxamine inhibited the expression of TBARS completely. This inhibition may have been partly due to the slight inactivation of MPO by desferrioxamine, but most of it was probably attributable *to* chelation of ferric iron. Earlier studies have shown

RIGHTSLINK()

MYELOPEROXIDASE AND LIPID PEROXIDATION

Reagents	Absorbance	$\frac{0}{16}$ TBARS
None	0.033	0
	0.031	0
FePPi	0.027	-10
MPO	0.025	-14
H, O,	0.033	Ω
MPO, FePPi, I, H ₂ O ₂ (Complete)	0.090	100
$Complete - I$	0.045	21
$Complete - FePPi$	0.067	60
$Complete - MPO$	0.027	-10
Complete $- H2O2$	0.033	2
$Complete + desferrioxamine$		
$15 \mu M$	0.044	21
$30 \mu M$	0.035	6
$30 \mu M_{\odot}$	0.099	115
$150 \mu M$	0.030	θ
$Complete + FEDTA$	0.033	
Complete $-1 + 100$ mM NaCl	0.018	-12
Complete $= 1 + 10$ mM NaCl	0.021	-8
Complete $-1 + 1$ mM NaCl	0.029	5

TABLE I Effect of various reagents on myeloperoxidase-induced phospholipid peroxidation

FePPi = $30 + 400 \mu$ M Fe³⁺-pyrophosphate, Note: $H_2O_2 = 0.1$ mM H_2O_2 . $I = 10 \mu M K I$, FeEDTA = 400μ M EDTA instead of pyrophosphate, MPO = 2 units of myeloperoxidase sample.

#Added after the incubation.

% LPO

FIGURE 4 Inhibitory effects of active (x) and inactivated (O) superoxide dismutase on lipid peroxidation.

that a very similar enzyme, lactoperoxidase, can catalyze peroxidation of lipids.^{7,8} The dose-response relations found for H_2O_2 and KI in the present study are similar to those found for lactoperoxidase,⁷ and the optimal H, O, concentration resembles that found by Andrews *et al.*⁹ for MPO activity. These authors also found that Cl^{\dagger} inhibited MPO and increased the K_M value for H_2O , dramatically, so that in the presence of 0.1 M NaCl and 0. I mM **H,0,** virtually no oxidants were produced, which may explain our negative results when I^- was replaced by Cl⁻. Iodide is present *in vivo* in far lower concentrations than chloride, but may still be of physiological importance, as suggested by Weiss and LoBuglio since neutrophils are capable of deiodinating thyroid-hormones.

The lactoperoxidase-catalyzed peroxidation reported by Buege and Aust' differs from the peroxidation studies in the present investigation in several respects. Notably, with lactoperoxidase **SOD** had no effect and iron was not required. Furthermore EDTA had only a minor effect, while EDTA in the present study abolished the TBARS production.

Superoxide has been reported to be produced by MPO,¹⁰ but several observations contradict the idea that superoxide is the initiator of lipid peroxidation. Many chelates between copper and amino acids are superoxide dismutators, 11,12 but in the present study the copperhistidine chelates did not affect TBARS expression, whereas SOD inhibited it strongly. Inactivated SOD was about 10% as potent as fully active **SOD.** This effect may have been due to the low residual activity, but it may also have been a nonspecific protein effect since human albumin was equally potent.

In a study of myeloperoxidase-mediated damage to liposomes, Sepe and Clark¹³ concluded that the damage observed was not due to lipid peroxidation but rather to lipid halogenation. However, they also found that both active and inactive **SOD** inhibited the liposome damage, perhaps by interchelation and stabilization of the lipid bilayers rather than by enzymatic activity.¹⁴ The inactivation of the TBARS expression by **SOD** is therefore puzzling. It is possible that SOD inhibits the propagation reaction by interfering with lipid peroxides rather than by inhibiting a superoxide-dependent initiation. SOD added after the peroxidation reaction did not interfere with the TBARS assay.

No precautions were taken in the present study to free the lipid preparation used from hydroperoxide contamination other than to keep it under argon, therefore it cannot be excluded that the initiation of lipid peroxidation was at least partly lipid hydroperoxide dependent. In a review of the role of iron in enzymatic lipid peroxidation Aust and Svingen¹⁵ stated that ADP-Fe (and *e.g. PP_i*-Fe) promoted hydroperoxide independent peroxidation, while reduced EDTA-Fe was only an efficient promoter of hydroperoxide dependent peroxidation. Since no peroxidation was seen in presence of EDTA-Fe we conclude that myeloperoxidase is capable of initiating hydroperoxide independent lipid peroxidation.

References

- **1. Weiss, S.J. and LoBuglio, A.F.** *Lab. Invest.,* **47, 5-18, (1982).**
- **2. Winterbourn, C.C.** *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 840,* **204210, (1985).**
- **3. Cuperus, R.A., Muijsers, A.O. and Wever, R.** *Arthritis and Rheumatism, 28,* **1228-1233, (1985).**

RIGHTS LINK()

- **4. Carlin,** *G.* **and Arfors, K.-E.** *J. Free Rad. Biol. Med.,* **1, 437-442, (1986).**
- **5. Chance, B. and Maehly, A.C.** *Methods in Enzymology***, 2, ^{770-773, (1955).**}
- **6. Marklund, S. and Marklund, G. Eur. J. Biochem., 47, 469-474, (1974).**

For personal use only.

- Buege, J.A. and Aust, S.D. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 444, 192-201. (1976). $7₁$
- Kanner, J. and Kinsella, J.E. Lipids, 18, 204-210, (1983). 8.
- $9₁$ Andrews, P.C., Parnes, C. and Krinsky, N.I. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 228, 439-442, (1984).
- 10. Odajima, T. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 235, 52-60, (1971).
- Lengfelder, E. and Weser, U. Bull. Europ. Physiopath. Resp., 17 (suppl.), 73-80, (1981). 11.
- Carlin, G., Djursäter, R. and Gerdin, B. Acta Physiol. Scand., 124 suppl 542, 414, (1985). $12.$
- $13.$ Sepe, S.M. and Clark, R.A. J. Immunol., 134, 1888-1895, (1985).
- 14. Sepe, S.M. and Clark, R.A. J. Immunol., 134, 1896-1901, (1985).
- Aust, S.D. and Svingen, B.A. in Free radicals in hiology, Academic Press: Orlando, 1982 vol. 5, pp. $15.$ $1 - 28$.

Accepted by Dr. B. Halliwell

